Name:

```
SID #:
```

1. Suppose that f is meromorphic on an open set containing  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , the closure of the unit disk. Assume that f does not vanish on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ , and that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(z) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \, dz = 0$$

for all functions g that are holomorphic on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . What can you say about the zeros and poles of f in  $\mathbb{D}$ ?

(10 points)

Solution. We will prove that f has no zeros or poles in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Aiming for a contradiction, let us assume that  $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_M\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$  are respectively the distinct zeros and poles of f in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Set  $a_j$  (resply  $b_k$ ) to be the order of  $z_j$  (resply  $p_k$ ). Then there exists an analytic function F not vanishing anywhere on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{M} (z - z_j)^{a_j} \prod_{k=1}^{M} (z - p_k)^{-b_k} F(z).$$

As we saw in the proof of the argument principle, this means

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{a_j}{z - z_j} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{b_k}{z - p_k} + \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the equation above by a holomorphic function g and integrating over  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ , we find that

$$0 = \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(z) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(z) \frac{a_j}{z - z_j} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} g(z) \frac{b_k}{z - p_k} + \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{g(z)F'(z)}{F(z)}$$
$$= 2\pi i \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j g(z_j) - \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k g(p_k) \right],$$

where the last step follows from Cauchy's theorem and the Cauchy integral formula.

Now fix an index j, and choose g to be a polynomial that vanishes at every point in  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  except  $z_j$ . Then the above computation shows that  $2\pi i a_j g(z_j) = 0$ , which is a contradiction since  $a_j$  is by definition a positive integer and  $g(z_j) \neq 0$  by our choice of g. This shows that  $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$ . The proof for  $\mathcal{P}$  is identical.