## AARMS Summer School Lecture I: The study of the profile function

[1] Appendix of Wei-Winter's book on "Mathematical Aspects of Pattern Formation in Biological Systems", Applied Mathematical Sciences Series, Vol. 189, Springer 2014 , ISBN: 978-4471-5525-6.

In this lecture, we give a self-contained proof of the existence, nondegeneracy and spectrum of the spike profile function $w$. These are basic properties which we shall use throughout this course.

First, we have the following existence result.
Lemma 0.1 Let $1<p<\frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Consider the following minimisation problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}:=\inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /(p+1)}} . \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $c_{p}$ can be attained by a radially symmetric function $w=w(r)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w-w+w^{p}=0, \quad w>0, \quad w \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we have that $w>0$ and $w^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r>0$.
Proof: By Sobolev's embedding theorem, $0<c_{p}<\infty$. Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ be a minimising sequence. By scaling invariance, we may assume that $\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} u_{k}^{p+1} d x=1$ and hence $\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+u_{k}^{2}\right) d x \rightarrow c_{p}$. By the rearrangement inequality we have

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(u_{k}^{*}\right)^{p+1} d x=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(u_{k}\right)^{p+1} d x=1
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}^{*}\right|^{2}+\left(u_{k}^{*}\right)^{2}\right) d x \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+u_{k}^{2}\right) d x
$$

where $u_{k}^{*}$ is the Schwarz rearrangement of $u_{k}$. Moreover, it holds that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla| u_{k}| |^{2}+\left|u_{k}\right|^{2}\right) d x=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+u_{k}^{2}\right) d x
$$

Because of these two facts, we may assume that the minimising sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is radially symmetric and strictly decreasing. By Strauss's lemma, for $u=u(r), u^{\prime}(r)<0$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(r)| \leq c r^{-(n-1) / 2}\|u\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (0.3), we deduce that the space of radially symmetric function in $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$, denoted by $H_{r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$, is continuously embedded into $L^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus $\left\{u_{k}(r)\right\}$ contains a convergent subsequence $\left\{u_{k}(r)\right\}$ in $L^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$. Assume that its limit is $w$, then $\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p+1} d x=1$. By Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}\right) d x \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(\left|u_{k}\right|^{2}+u_{k}^{2}\right) d x=c_{p} .
$$

On the other hand, $w \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ and hence

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}\right) d x \geq c_{p}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p+1} d x\right)^{1 /(p+1)}=c^{p}
$$

This shows that $w$ is in fact a minimiser of the problem (0.1).
Denote

$$
E[u]=\frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /(p+1)}} .
$$

Then

$$
E[w+t \phi] \geq 0 \text { for all } t \in \mathcal{R} \text { and for all } \phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of $w$ implies that $w$ is a weak solution of (0.2). Since $w^{p-1} \in$ $L_{l o c}^{n / 2+\epsilon_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ for some $\epsilon_{0}>0$, the elliptic regularity theorem in Gilbarg-Trudinger, Theorem 8.17 yields that $w$ is bounded. By $L^{p}$ and Schauder estimates, we get $w \in C_{l o c}^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$. Thus $w$ is a classical solution of (0.2). By the strong Maximum Principle, we finally have $w>0$ in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$.

Next, we prove the nondegeneracy of $w$.
Lemma 0.2 Let $\phi$ be a bounded solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi-\phi+p w^{p-1} \phi=0, \quad|\phi| \leq 1 \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\phi=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text { for some real constants } c_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n
$$

Proof: We divide the proof into four steps.
Step 1. $\phi$ decays exponentially to 0 at infinity, more precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(x)| \leq C e^{(1-\delta)|x|} \quad \text { for some } C, \delta>0 \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, let $\psi$ be the unique solution of

$$
\Delta \psi-\psi+p w^{p-1} \psi=0
$$

Since $w^{p-1} \psi$ decays exponentially, so does $\psi$, both in the sense of (0.5). Then the difference $u=\phi-\psi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u-u=0, \quad u \text { is bounded. } \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $u \equiv 0$ and so $\phi \equiv \psi$.
Step 2. Assume that $\phi=\phi(r)$. Then $\phi \equiv 0$.
This is the key step.
First, we show that $\lambda_{2} \geq 0$, where $\lambda_{2}$ denotes the second eigenvalue of the operator

$$
\Delta \phi-\phi+p w^{p-1} \phi+\lambda \phi=0, \quad|\phi| \leq 1
$$

In fact, expanding the minimality condition

$$
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}(E(w+t \phi)-E(w)) \geq 0
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\phi^{2}-p w^{p-1} \phi^{2}\right) d x+(p-1) \frac{\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p} \phi d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p+1} d x} \geq 0 . \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Courant-Fischer theorem, we deduce that $\lambda_{2} \geq 0$. In particular, $\lambda_{2, r} \geq 0$, where $\lambda_{2, r}$ is the second eigenvalue in the radial class.

Since

$$
\Delta w-w+p w^{p-1} w=(p-1) w^{p}
$$

we see that $\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p} \phi d x=0$. Thus $\phi$ can change sign only once. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for some $r_{0}>0$ we have $\phi \leq 0$ for $r \leq r_{0}$ and $\phi \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_{0}$.

Now we consider the function

$$
\eta(r)=r w^{\prime}-\beta w .
$$

Then $\eta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \eta-\eta+p w^{p-1} \eta=2 w-(2+\beta(p-1)) w^{p} . \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may choose $\beta$ such that $1=\left(1+\frac{\beta(p-1)}{2}\right) w^{p-1}\left(r_{0}\right)$ so that

$$
2 w-(2+\beta(p-1)) w^{p} \leq 0 \text { for } r \leq r_{0} \text { and } 2 w-(2+\beta(p-1)) w^{p} \geq 0 \text { for } r \geq r_{0} .
$$

Multiplying (0.8) by $\phi$ and (0.4) by $\eta$, we arrive at

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} \phi\left(2 w-(2+\beta(p-1)) w^{p}\right) d x=0
$$

which is impossible by the properties of $\phi$ unless $\phi \equiv 0$. This proves Step 2 .
Step 3. Finally, we decompose $\phi$ into Fourier modes

$$
\phi(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{j}(r) \psi_{j}(\theta)
$$

where $\psi_{0}=1$ and $\psi_{j}(\theta)$ are the normalised eigenfunctions on $S^{n-1}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$. Thus $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=\ldots=\lambda_{n+1}=n-1, \lambda_{n+2}>n-1, \ldots$ Then $\phi_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{j}-\phi_{j}+p w^{p-1} \phi_{j}=\frac{\lambda_{j}}{r^{2}} \phi_{j} \tag{0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j} \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } j \geq n+2 . \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (0.10): For $j \geq n+2$, we have $\phi_{j}(0)=0$. Let $r_{0}$ be the first positive zero of $\phi_{j}$ (which may be $+\infty$ ) and we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\phi_{j}>0$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$. Multiplying (0.9) by $w^{\prime}$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_{r_{0}}} \frac{\lambda_{j}-(n-1)}{r^{2}} \phi_{j} w^{\prime} d x=\int_{\partial B_{r_{0}}}\left(w^{\prime} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial r}-\phi_{j} w^{\prime \prime}\right) d s=\int_{\partial B_{r_{0}}} w^{\prime} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial r} d s
$$

Now note that the l.h.s is strictly negative while the r.h.s. is strictly positive unless $\phi_{j} \equiv 0$. Step 3 follows.

Finally, we prove
Step 4. For $j=2, \ldots, n+1, \phi_{j}=c_{j} w^{\prime}$ for some $c_{j} \neq 0$.
Note that $\phi_{j}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{j}-\phi_{j}+p w^{p-1} \phi_{j}=\frac{n-1}{r^{2}} \phi_{j}, \quad \phi_{j}(0)=0 . \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the uniqueness of the solutions of ordinary differential equations, we have

$$
\phi_{j}=\frac{\phi_{j}^{\prime}(0)}{w^{\prime \prime}(0)} w^{\prime}
$$

and Step 4 is completed.
Combining Steps 1-4, we have proved the lemma.
A corollary of Lemma 0.2 is the following result on the spectrum of $w$.

Lemma 0.3 Let $w$ be the least energy solution given in Lemma 0.2. Then we have (1) There holds

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\phi^{2}-p w^{p-1} \phi^{2}\right) d x+(p-1) \frac{\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p} \phi d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{p+1} d x} \geq 0
$$

for all $\phi \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)$.
(2) The eigenvalue problem

$$
\Delta \phi-\phi+p w^{p-1} \phi+\lambda \phi=0, \quad \phi \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\lambda_{1}<0, \lambda_{2}=\ldots=\lambda_{n+1}=0, \lambda_{n+2}>0,
$$

where the eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ is simple, radially symmetric and it can be made positive.

Proof: We just need to prove the statement on $\lambda_{1}$ and its eigenfunction. In fact, we consider

$$
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{\phi \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{n}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\phi^{2}-p w^{p-1} \phi^{2}\right) d x}{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} \phi^{2} d x} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\lambda_{1}<\frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}-p w^{p+1}\right) d x}{\int_{\mathcal{R}^{n}} w^{2} d x}<0
$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction is simple, radially symmetric and it can be made positive. The rest follows from Lemma 0.2 and its proof, using that by (0.7) we have $\lambda_{2} \geq 0$.

