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#### Abstract

We obtain a Bogomolov type of result for the affine space defined over the algebraic closure of a function field of transcendence degree 1 over a finite field.
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## 1. Introduction

The Manin-Mumford conjecture, proved by Raynaud [8], asserts that if an irreducible subvariety $X$ of an abelian variety $A$ defined over a number field contains a Zariski dense subset of torsion points of $A$, then $X$ is a translate of an algebraic subgroup of $A$ by a torsion point. We describe next the Bogomolov conjecture, which is a generalization of the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

Let $A$ be an abelian variety defined over a number field $K$. We fix an algebraic closure $K^{\text {alg }}$ for $K$ and we let $\widehat{\mathrm{h}}: A\left(K^{\text {alg }}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the Néron height associated to a symmetric, ample line bundle on $A$. Let $X$ be an irreducible subvariety of $A$. For each $n \geq 1$, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\left\{x \in X\left(K^{\mathrm{alg}}\right) \left\lvert\, \widehat{\mathrm{h}}(x)<\frac{1}{n}\right.\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bogomolov conjecture, which was proved in a special case by Ullmo [10] and in the general case by Zhang [12], asserts that if for every $n \geq 1, X_{n}$ is Zariski dense in $X$, then $X$ is the translate of an abelian subvariety of $A$ by a torsion point of $A$. Both Ullmo and Zhang proved the Bogomolov conjecture via an equidistribution statement for points of small height on $A$. The characteristic 0 function field case of the Bogomolov conjecture was proved by Moriwaki [7], while a generalization of the Bogomolov statement to semi-abelian varieties was obtained by David and Philippon in [5].

The case of Bogomolov conjecture for any power $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ of the multiplicative group was first proved by Zhang in [11]. Other proofs of the Bogomolov conjecture for $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ were given by Bilu [1] and Bombieri and Zannier [2]. This last paper constituted our inspiration for proving here a version of the Bogomolov conjecture for the affine scheme defined over the algebraic closure of a function field of transcendence degree 1 over a finite field (see our Theorem 2.2).

The picture in positive characteristic for the Bogomolov conjecture is much different due to the varieties defined over finite fields. Indeed, if $A$ is a semi-abelian variety defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then every subvariety $X$ of $A$ defined over a finite field contains a Zariski dense subset of torsion points (because $X\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {alg }}\right) \subset A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {alg }}\right)=A_{\text {tor }}$ is Zariski dense in $\left.X\right)$. Because all torsion points have canonical height 0 , then each subvariety $X$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\text {alg }}$ constitutes a counterexample to the obvious translation in positive characteristic of the classical Bogomolov statement. Thus, it is not true in characteristic $p$ that only translates of algebraic tori are accumulating subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ for points of small height. All subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ invariant under a power of the Frobenius are accumulating varieties for points of small height. The group structure of the ambient space $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$ disappears from the conclusion of a Bogomolov statement for $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}$. This motivated our approach to Theorem 2.2 in which the ambient space is simply the affine space, and not an algebraic torus as in [2].

We note that Bosser [3] proved a Bogomolov statement for the additive group scheme in characteristic $p$ under the action of a Drinfeld module of generic characteristic. His result is not yet published, but the main ingredient of his proof was published in [4]. The author formulated in [6] an equidistribution statement for points of small height for Drinfeld modules of generic characteristic (and we also proved in [6] a first instance of our equidistribution statement). Our equidistribution statement is similar with the ones proved by Ullmo [10] and Zhang [12] for abelian varieties. Finally, we note that our Theorem 2.2 can be interpreted as a Bogomolov type statement for Drinfeld modules defined over finite fields.

I would like to thank both referees for their very helpful suggestions.

## 2. Statement of our main Result

In this section we state our main result Theorem 2.2, which we prove in Section 3.
For each finite extension $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$, we construct the usual set of valuations $M_{K}$ and the associated local heights $\mathrm{h}_{v}$ on $K$. For the reader's convenience we sketch this classical construction (for more details, see Chapter 2 in [9]). Let $R:=\mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$. For each irreducible polynomial $P \in R$ we let $v_{P}$ be the valuation on $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ given by $v_{P}\left(\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(Q_{1}\right)-$ $\operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(Q_{2}\right)$ for every nonzero $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in R$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is the order of the polynomial $Q_{i}$ at $P$. Also, we construct the valuation $v_{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ given by $v_{\infty}\left(\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{2}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ for every nonzero $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in R$. We let the degree of $v_{P}$ be $d\left(v_{P}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(P)$ for every irreducible polynomial $P \in R$ and we also let $d\left(v_{\infty}\right)=1$. Then, for every nonzero $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$, we have the sum formula $\sum_{v \in M_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)}} d(v) \cdot v(x)=0$.

Let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. We normalize each valuation $w$ from $M_{K}$ so that the range of $w$ is the entire $\mathbb{Z}$. For $w \in M_{K}$, if $v \in M_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)}$ lies below $w$, then $e(w \mid v)$ represents the corresponding ramification index, while $f(w \mid v)$ represents the relative residue degree. Also, we define $d(w)=\frac{f(w \mid v) d(v)}{\left[K: \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)\right]}$. Let $x \in K$. We define the local height of $x$ at $w$ as $\mathrm{h}_{w}(x)=-d(w) \min \{w(x), 0\}$. Finally, we define the (global) height of $x$ as $\mathrm{h}(x)=\sum_{w \in M_{K}} \mathrm{~h}_{w}(x)$.

We extend the above heights to every affine space $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$. Let $K$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ and let $P=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n}$. We define the local height of $P$ at $w$ as $\mathrm{h}_{w}(P)=\mathrm{h}_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\max _{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~h}_{w}\left(x_{i}\right)$. We define the (global) height of $P$ as $\mathrm{h}(P)=\sum_{w \in M_{K}} \mathrm{~h}_{w}(P)$.

The following proposition contains standard results on the Weil height h.
Proposition 2.1. For every $P, Q \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}}^{n}$, the following statements are true:
(i) $\mathrm{h}(P)=0$ if and only if $P \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}}^{n}$.
(ii) $\mathrm{h}(P+Q) \leq \mathrm{h}(P)+\mathrm{h}(Q)$ (triangle inequality). Moreover, if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$, then $\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \leq \mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The results of Proposition 2.1 are classical, possibly with the exception of the "moreover" part of $(i i)$. Hence we show next how to obtain that statement. For each place $v$, $v\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \geq \min \left\{v\left(x_{1}\right), v\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}$. Thus $\mathrm{h}_{v}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \leq \max \left\{\mathrm{h}_{v}\left(x_{1}\right), \mathrm{h}_{v}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\mathrm{h}_{v}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \leq \mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

The following theorem is our main result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be an affine subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$. Let $Y$ be the Zariski closure of the set $X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}\right)$, i.e. $Y$ is the largest $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-subvariety of $X$.

There exists a positive constant $C$, depending only on $X$, such that if $P \in X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$ and $\mathrm{h}(P)<C$, then $P \in Y\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$.

Remark 2.3. The result of Theorem 2.2 extends to any closed projective subvariety $X$ of a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Indeed, we cover $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ by finitely many open affine spaces $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i}$, and then apply Theorem 2.2 to each $X \cap U_{i}$ (which is a closed subvariety of the affine space $U_{i}$ ).

## 3. Proof of our main result

Unless otherwise stated, all our subvarieties are closed. We start with a definition.
Definition 3.1. We call reduced a non-constant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$, whose coefficients $a_{i}$ have no non-constant common divisor in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$. For each finite extension $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$, we define the local height $\mathrm{h}_{w}(f)$ of $f$ at a place $w \in M_{K}$ as $\max _{i} \mathrm{~h}_{w}\left(a_{i}\right)$. Then we define the (global) height $\mathrm{h}(f)$ of $f$ as $\sum_{w \in M_{K}} \mathrm{~h}_{w}(f)$. Note that our definition is independent of $K$, as $\mathrm{h}(f)$ equals the maximum of the degrees of the coefficients $a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$ of $f$.

Our proof of Theorem 2.2 goes through a series of lemmas.
Lemma 3.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be a reduced polynomial of total degree $d$. For every $k$ such that $p^{k} \geq 2 \mathrm{~h}(f)$, if $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}}^{n}$ satisfies $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$, then either

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 d}
$$

or

$$
f\left(x_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Let $k$ satisfy the inequality from the statement of Lemma 3.2. Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}}^{n}$ be a zero of $f$. We let $f=\sum_{i} a_{i} M_{i}$, where the $a_{i}$ 's are the nonzero coefficients of $f$ and the $M_{i}$ 's are the corresponding monomials of $f$. For each $i$, we let $m_{i}:=M_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Assume $f\left(x_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p^{k}}\right) \neq 0$.
We let $K=\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. If $\zeta=f\left(x_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)$, then (because $\zeta \neq 0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in M_{K}} d(w) w(\zeta)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$, we get $\zeta=\zeta-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{p^{k}}$ and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\sum_{i}\left(a_{i}-a_{i}^{p^{k}}\right) m_{i}^{p^{k}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 3.3. For every $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t],\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right) \mid\left(g^{p^{k}}-g\right)$.
Proof of Claim 3.3. Let $g:=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} t^{j}$. Then $g^{p^{k}}=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} t^{j p^{k}}$. The proof of Claim 3.3 is immediate because for every $j \in \mathbb{N},\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right) \mid\left(t^{j p^{k}}-t^{j}\right)$.

Using the result of Claim 3.3 and equation (3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right) \sum_{i} b_{i} m_{i}^{p^{k}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}=\frac{a_{i}-a_{i}^{p^{k}}}{t^{p^{k}}-t} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$. Let $S$ be the set of valuations $w \in M_{K}$ such that $w$ lies above an irreducible factor (in $\left.\mathbb{F}_{p}[t]\right)$ of $t^{p^{k}}-t$. For each $w \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(w) \cdot w(\zeta) \geq d(w) \cdot w\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)-d p^{k} \mathrm{~h}_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because for each $i, w\left(b_{i}\right) \geq 0$ (as $b_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]$ and $w$ does not lie over $v_{\infty}$ ) and also,

$$
d(w) \cdot w\left(m_{i}^{p^{k}}\right) \geq-d p^{k} \mathrm{~h}_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

as the total degree of $M_{i}$ is at most $d$.
For each $w \in M_{K} \backslash S$, because $\zeta=\sum_{i} a_{i} m_{i}^{p^{k}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(w) \cdot w(\zeta) \geq-\mathrm{h}_{w}(f)-d p^{k} \mathrm{~h}_{w}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding all inequalities from (5) and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{w \in M_{K}} d(w) \cdot w(\zeta) \geq-\mathrm{h}(f)-d p^{k} \mathrm{~h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{\substack{w \in M_{K} \\ w\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)>0}} d(w) \cdot w\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the coherence of the valuations on $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$,

$$
\sum_{\substack{w \in M_{K} \\ w\left(t t^{k}-t\right)>0}} d(w) \cdot w\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)=\sum_{\substack{v \in M_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}(t) \\ v\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)>0}} d(v) \cdot v\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)=-v_{\infty}\left(t^{p^{k}}-t\right)=p^{k} .
$$

Thus, inequality (7) yields

$$
0 \geq-\mathrm{h}(f)-d p^{k} \mathrm{~h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+p^{k}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{d}-\frac{\mathrm{h}(f)}{d p^{k}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $k$ was chosen such that $p^{k} \geq 2 \mathrm{~h}(f)$, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 d} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Let $K$ be a finite field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ and let $f \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be an irreducible polynomial. If $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \mid f\left(X_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)$, then there exists $a \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that af $\in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$.

Proof. Let $Z$ be the zero set for $f$. Let $F$ be the Frobenius on $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The hypothesis on $f$ shows that for every $P \in Z\left(K^{\text {alg }}\right), F^{k} P \in Z\left(K^{\text {alg }}\right)$. Hence $F^{k} Z \subset Z$. Because $Z$ is irreducible (as $f$ is irreducible) and $\operatorname{dim}\left(F^{k} Z\right)=\operatorname{dim}(Z)$, we conclude $F^{k} Z=Z$. Therefore $Z$ is defined over the fixed field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}$ of $F^{k}$. Moreover, $Z$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{k}} \cap K$. Thus there exists a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{k}}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ such that $g=a \cdot f$, for some nonzero $a \in K$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be an affine variety of dimension less than $n$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$. There exists a positive constant $C$, depending only on $X$, and there exists an affine $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$ variety $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$ of dimension less than $n$, which also depends only on $X$, such that for every $P \in X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$, either $P \in Z\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$ or $\mathrm{h}(P) \geq C$.

Remark 3.6. The only difference between Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 2.2 is that we do not require $Z$ be contained in $X$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $K$ be the smallest field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$ such that $X$ is defined over $K$. Let $p^{m}$ be the inseparable degree of the extension $K / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)(m \geq 0)$. Let

$$
X_{1}=\bigcup_{\sigma} X^{\sigma}
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes any field morphism $K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. The variety $X_{1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{1 / p^{m}}$ variety. Also, $X_{1}$ depends only on $X$. Thus, if we prove Lemma 3.5 for $X_{1}$, then our result will hold also for $X \subset X_{1}$. Hence we may and do assume that $X$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{1 / p^{m}}$.

We let $F$ be the Frobenius on $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The variety $X^{\prime}=F^{m} X$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$-variety, which depends only on $X$. Assume we proved Lemma 3.5 for $X^{\prime}$ and let $C^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ be the positive constant and the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-variety, respectively, associated to $X^{\prime}$, as in the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. Let $P \in X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. Then $P^{\prime}:=F^{m}(P) \in X^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. Thus, either

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{h}\left(P^{\prime}\right) \geq C^{\prime} \text { or } \\
P^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\mathrm{alg}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the former case, because $\mathrm{h}(P)=\frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathrm{~h}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain a lower bound for the height of $P$, depending only on $X$ (note that $m$ depends only on $X$ ). In the latter case, if we let $Z$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, obtained by extracting the $p^{m}$-roots of the coefficients of a set of polynomials (defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$ ) which generate the vanishing ideal for $Z^{\prime}$, we get $P \in Z\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. By its construction, $Z$ depends only on $X$ and so, we obtain the conclusion of Lemma 3.5.

Thus, from now on in this proof, we assume $X$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$-variety. We proceed by induction on $n$.

The case $n=1$ is obvious, because any subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, different from $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, is a finite union of points. Thus we may take $Z=X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}\right)$, (which is also a finite union of points) and $C:=\min _{P \in(X \backslash Z)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)} \mathrm{h}(P)$. By construction, $C>0$ (there are finitely many points in $(X \backslash Z)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$ and they all have positive height by Proposition $\left.2.1(i)\right)$. If there are no points in $X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right) \backslash X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}\right)$, then we may take $C=1$, say.

Remark 3.7. The above argument proves the case $n=1$ for Theorem 2.2, because the variety $Z$ that we chose is a subvariety of $X$.

We assume Lemma 3.5 holds for $n-1$ and we prove it for $n(n \geq 2)$. We fix a set of defining polynomials for $X$ which contains polynomials $P_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[t]\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ for which

$$
\max _{i} \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)
$$

is minimum among all possible sets of defining polynomials for $X$ (where $\operatorname{deg} P_{i}$ is the total degree of $P_{i}$ ). We may assume all of the polynomials we chose are reduced. If all of them have coefficients from a finite field, i.e. $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, then Lemma 3.5 holds with $Z=X$ and $C$ any positive constant.

Assume there exists a reduced polynomial $f \notin \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ in the fixed set of defining equations for $X$. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be the set of all the $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$-irreducible factors of $f$. For each $i$ let $H_{i}$ be the zero set of $f_{i}$. Then $X$ is contained in the finite union $\cup_{i} H_{i}$. The polynomials $f_{i}$ depend only on $f$. Thus it suffices to prove Lemma 3.5 for each $H_{i}$. Hence we may and do assume $X$ is the zero set of a reduced $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$-irreducible polynomial $f \notin \mathbb{F}_{p}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$.

Let $P=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. We apply Lemma 3.2 to $f$ and $P$ and conclude that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}(P) \geq \frac{1}{2 \operatorname{deg}(f)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or there exists $k$ depending only on $\mathrm{h}(f)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (10) holds, then we obtained a good lower bound for the height of $P$ (depending only on the degree of $f$ ).

Assume (11) holds. Because $f$ is an irreducible and reduced polynomial, whose coefficients are not all in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, Lemma 3.4 yields that $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ cannot divide $f\left(X_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)$. We know $f$ has more than one monomial because it is reduced and not all of its coefficients are in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $f$ has positive degree in $X_{n}$. Because $f$ is irreducible, the resultant $R$ of the polynomials $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ and $f\left(X_{1}^{p^{k}}, \ldots, X_{n}^{p^{k}}\right)$ with respect to the variable $X_{n}$ is nonzero. Moreover, $R$ depends only on $f$ (we recall that $k$ depends only on $\mathrm{h}(f)$ ).

The nonzero polynomial $R \in \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right]$ vanishes on $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. Applying the induction hypothesis to the hypersurface $R=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$, we conclude there exists an $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-variety $Z$, strictly contained in $\mathbb{A}^{n-1}$, depending only on $R$ (and so, only on $X$ ) and there exists a positive constant $C$, depending only on $R$ (and so, only on $X$ ) such that either

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \geq C \text { or }  \tag{12}\\
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in Z\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\mathrm{alg}}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

If (12) holds, then $\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \geq \mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \geq C$ and we have a height inequality as in the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. If (13) holds, then $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\left(Z \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$ and $Z \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-variety, strictly contained in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, as desired in Lemma 3.5. This proves the inductive step and concludes the proof of Lemma 3.5.

The following result is an immediate corollary of Lemma 3.5.
Corollary 3.8. Let $X$ be a proper subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$. There exists a positive constant $C$ and a proper subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$, such that the pair $(C, Z)$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.5, and moreover $Z$ is minimal with this property (with respect to the inclusion of subvarieties of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ ).
Proof. Let $\left(C_{1}, Z_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, Z_{2}\right)$ be two pairs of a positive constant and a proper subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$, such that both pairs satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. Clearly, $\left(\min \left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\}, Z_{1} \cap Z_{2}\right)$ also satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. Using the fact that there exists no infinite descending chain (with respect to the inclusion) of subvarieties of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, we obtain the conclusion of Corollary 3.8.

We are ready now to prove Theorem 2.2.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. If $X=\mathbb{A}^{n}$, the conclusion is immediate. Therefore, assume from now on in this proof that $X$ is strictly contained in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.

We prove Theorem 2.2 by induction on $n$. The case $n=1$ was already proved during the proof of Lemma 3.5 (see Remark 3.7).

We assume Theorem 2.2 holds for $n-1$ and we will prove that it also holds for $n(n \geq 2)$. Let $C$ and $Z$ be as in the conclusion of Corollary 3.8 for $X$. Also, we recall that $Y$, as defined in the statement of Theorem 2.2, is the largest $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-subvariety of $X$. Our goal is to show that $Z \subset X$, because this would mean that $Z \subset Y$, as $Y$ is the largest subvariety of $X$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$.

Assume $Z$ is not a subvariety of $X$. Thus there exists an $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-irreducible subvariety $W$ of $Z$, such that $W \cap X$ is a finite union of proper $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}$-irreducible subvarieties $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{l}$ of $W$. Let $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$. Note that both $W$ and $W_{j}$ depend only on $X$ (because $Z$ and $W \cap X$ have finitely many geometrically irreducible components).

Assume $P:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in W_{j}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. According to Lemma 3.5, $\operatorname{dim} Z<n$ and so, $\operatorname{dim} W=: d<n$. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim} W_{j}<\operatorname{dim} W$, because both $W$ and $W_{j}$ are irreducible and $W_{j}$ is a proper subvariety of $W$. Without loss of generality, we may assume the projection $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{d}$, when restricted to $W$ is generically finite-to-one (after relabelling the $n$ coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ we can achieve this anyway).

Let $U_{j}$ be the Zariski closure of $\pi\left(W_{j}\right)$. Because $W_{j}$ is a closed subvariety of $W$ of smaller dimension, $\operatorname{dim} U_{j}<d$. Because $W_{j}$ depends only on $X, U_{j}$ depends only on $X$. Because $d<n$ and $U_{j}$ is a subvariety strictly contained in $\mathbb{A}^{d}$, we may apply the inductive hypothesis to $U_{j}$. Let $U_{j, 0}$ be the largest $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-subvariety of $U_{j}$. We conclude there exists a positive constant $C_{j}$ depending only on the variety $U_{j}$ (and so, depending only on the variety $X$ ) such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \geq C_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in U_{j, 0}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\mathrm{alg}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (14) holds, then $\mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq \mathrm{h}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \geq C_{j}$. If (15) holds, then $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\left(U_{j, 0} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-d}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. The $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-variety $U_{j, 0} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-d}$ intersects $W$ in a subvariety of smaller dimension because

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi\left(U_{j, 0} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-d}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{j, 0}\right)<d=\operatorname{dim}(\pi(W))
$$

Let $V_{j}:=\left(U_{j, 0} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-d}\right) \cap W$. Then $P$ lies on $V_{j}$, and $V_{j}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-variety (both $U_{j, 0}$ and $W$ are $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$-varieties) which is properly contained in $W$. Moreover, $V_{j}$ depends only on $X$, because both $W$ and $U_{j, 0} \times \mathbb{A}^{n-d}$ depend only on $X$.

Hence, for each $P \in W \cap X$, there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $P \in W_{j}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\text {alg }}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { either } h(P) \geq C_{j}  \tag{16}\\
& \text { or } P \in V_{j}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)^{\mathrm{alg}}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $C^{\prime}:=\min \left\{C, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l}\right\}$. Then $C^{\prime}$ is a positive constant which depends only on $X$. Let $Z^{\prime}$ be the proper subvariety of $Z$ obtained by replacing the irreducible component $W$ of $Z$ by $\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} V_{i}$. Then $Z^{\prime}$ is also a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\text {alg }}$. Moreover, because the pair $(C, Z)$ satisfies Lemma 3.5, using also (16) and (17), we conclude that the pair $\left(C^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ also satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.5. This contradicts the minimality of $Z$ which satisfies the conclusion of Corollary 3.8. This contradiction shows that $Z \subset X$ (and so, $Z \subset Y$ ), which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
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