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#### Abstract

Binomial Thue equations of the shape $A a^{n}-B b^{n}=1$ possess, for $A$ and $B$ positive integers and $n \geq 3$, at most a single solution in positive integers $a$ and $b$. In case $n \geq 4$ is even and $A=1$, an old result of Tartakowsky characterizes this solution, should it exist, in terms of the fundamental unit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B})$. In this note, we extend this to certain values of $A>1$.


## 1. Introduction

If $F(x, y)$ is an irreducible binary form of degree $n \geq 3$, then the Thue equation

$$
F(x, y)=m
$$

has, for a fixed nonzero integer $m$, at most finitely many solutions which may, via a variety of techniques from the theory of Diophantine approximation, be effectively determined (see e.g. Tzanakis and de Weger [14]). In general, the number of such solutions may depend upon the degree of $F$, but, as proven by Mueller and Schmidt [10], is bounded solely in terms of $m$ and the number of monomials of $F$. In the special case where $m \leq 2$ and the number of monomials is minimal, we have the following recent theorem of the author's:

Theorem 1.1. ([2]) If $A, B$ and $n$ are nonzero integers with $n \geq 3$, then the inequality

$$
\left|A a^{n}-B b^{n}\right| \leq 2
$$

has at most one solution in positive integers $(a, b)$.
In particular, an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A a^{n}-B b^{n}=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has, for fixed $A B \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$, at most a single positive solution $(a, b)$ (this is, in fact, the main result of [1]). This statement, while in some sense sharp, fails to precisely characterize the solutions that occur. Given the existence of a pair of integers $(a, b)$ satisfying (1.1), for instance, it would be of some interest to determine their relationship with the structure of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{B / A})$, in particular with the fundamental unit(s) in the ring of integers of this field. A prototype of the result we have in mind is the following special case of a theorem of Ljunggren [9] (cf. Nagell [11]) :

[^0]Theorem 1.2. (Ljunggren) If $A$ and $B$ are positive integers, then if $a$ and $b$ are positive integers for which

$$
A a^{3}-B b^{3}=1
$$

we necessarily have that

$$
(a \sqrt[3]{A}-b \sqrt[3]{B})^{3}
$$

is either the fundamental unit or its square in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{A / B})$.
For larger (even) values of $n$, where, additionally, we assume that $A=1$, we have a result stated by Tartakowsky [13] and proved by Af Ekenstam [6] :

Theorem 1.3. (Tartakowsky, Af Ekenstam) Let $n$ and $B$ be integers with $n \geq 2$, $B$ positive and nonsquare and $(n, B) \neq(2,7140)$. If there exist positive integers $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2 n}-B b^{2 n}=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
u_{1}=a^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{1}=b^{n} .
$$

If $(n, B)=(2,7140)$, then equation (1.2) has precisely one solution in positive integers, corresponding to

$$
u_{2}=239^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{2}=26^{2}
$$

Here and subsequently, we define $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ to be the smallest positive integers such that $u_{1}^{2}-B v_{1}^{2}=1$ and set

$$
u_{k}+v_{k} \sqrt{B}=\left(u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{B}\right)^{k} .
$$

Our goal in this paper is to consider the more general equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} a^{2 n}-B b^{2 n}=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $M=2^{n-1}$, an analogous result to Theorem 1.3 is noted without proof by Ljunggren (as Theorem II of [8]). In [3], this is generalized to $M=2^{\alpha}$ for arbitrary nonnegative integer $\alpha$. Here, we extend this result to (certain) larger values of $M$. Specifically, defining $P(M)$ to be the largest prime divisor of $M$, we prove

Theorem 1.4. Let $M, n$ and $B$ be positive integers with $M, n \geq 2, B$ nonsquare and $P(M) \leq 13$. If there exist positive integers $a$ and $b$ satisfying (1.3) then either $u_{1}=M a^{n}$ and $v_{1}=b^{n}$, or one of $(M, n, B)=(1,2,7140)$ or $(7,2,3)$. In these latter cases, we have $u_{2}=M a^{n}$ and $v_{2}=b^{n}$.

## 2. The case $n=2$

We begin our proof of Theorem 1.4 by treating the case $n=2$. Here, we will deduce something a bit stronger, generalizing Corollary 1.3 of [5] in the process :

Proposition 2.1. Let $M, B>1$ be squarefree integers with $P(M) \leq 13$. Then if there exist positive integers $a$ and $c$ satisfying the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} a^{4}-B c^{2}=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we necessarily have $M a^{2}=u_{k}$ with $k=1$ unless either $M=7$ (in which case $k=1$ or $k=2$, but not both) or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M, B) \in\{(11,2),(26,3),(26,16383),(55,1139),(1001,571535)\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have $k=3$.

The aforementioned Corollary 1.3 of [5] is just the above result under the more restrictive assumption $P(M) \leq 11$. We will thus assume for the remainder of this section that $13 \mid M$. Our argument is similar to that given in [5]; we will suppress many of the details.

From Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 5.1 of [5], we have $M a^{2}=u_{k}$ with $k$ a positive integral divisor of 420 . Since $u_{2 j}=2 u_{j}^{2}-1$ and $13 \mid M$, we may suppose that $k$ is odd. Now, by the classical theory of Pell's equation, we have that

$$
u_{k}=T_{k}\left(u_{1}\right)
$$

where $T_{k}(x)$ denotes the $k$ th Tschebyscheff polynomial (of the first kind), satisfying

$$
T_{k}(x)=\cos (k \arccos x)=x^{k}+\binom{k}{2} x^{k-2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)+\cdots
$$

for $k$ a nonnegative integer. Since $T_{k_{1} k_{2}}(x)=T_{k_{1}}\left(T_{k_{2}}(x)\right)$ for positive integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, to conclude as desired, we need only solve the Diophantine equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}(x)=M a^{2}, \quad k \in\{3,5,7\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in integers $x$ and $a$ with $x>1$. If $k=5$ or $k=7$, we note that $T_{k}(x)=x\left(16 x^{4}-\right.$ $\left.20 x^{2}+5\right)$ or $x\left(64 x^{6}-112 x^{4}+56 x^{2}-7\right)$, respectively. Since

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(16 x^{4}-20 x^{2}+5,2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\right)=1
$$

in the first case, from (2.3), we necessarily have

$$
16 x^{4}-20 x^{2}+5=5^{\delta} u^{2}
$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta \in\{0,1\}$. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 1.3 of [5] leads to a contradiction if $x>1$. In case $k=7$, since

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(64 x^{6}-112 x^{4}+56 x^{2}-7,2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13\right)=1
$$

it follows that

$$
64 x^{6}-112 x^{4}+56 x^{2}-7=7^{\delta} u^{2}
$$

again for $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\delta \in\{0,1\}$. From the inequalities

$$
\left(8 x^{3}-7 x\right)^{2}<64 x^{6}-112 x^{4}+56 x^{2}-7<\left(8 x^{3}-7 x+1\right)^{2}
$$

valid for $x>1$, we may suppose that $\delta=1$ (so that $7 \mid x$ ). It follows that $7 \mid u^{2}+1$, again a contradiction.

Finally, if $k=3$, we are left to consider equations of the form

$$
x\left(4 x^{2}-3\right)=M a^{2}, \quad P(M) \leq 13
$$

Via (nowadays) routine computations using linear forms in elliptic logarithms and lattice basis reduction (as implemented, for example, in Simath), we find that the only solutions to these equations with $x>1$ correspond to

$$
x \in\{2,3,128,135,756\}
$$

This, after a simple calculation, completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.
To apply this to Theorem 1.4 in case $n=2$, let us begin by supposing that there exist positive integers $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} a^{4}-B b^{4}=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $B=B_{0} B_{1}^{2}$ with $B_{0}$ squarefree, we will, as previously, take $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ for the smallest positive integers with $u_{1}^{2}-B v_{1}^{2}=1$ and suppose that $u_{1}^{*}$ and $v_{1}^{*}$ are the smallest positive integers satisfying $\left(u_{i}^{*}\right)^{2}-B_{0}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=1$. From Proposition 2.1,
it follows that $M a^{2}=u_{k}^{*}$ and $B_{1} b^{2}=v_{k}^{*}$ for $k \leq 3$. Since $u_{k}^{*} \leq u_{k}$ for all $k$, it remains to show that $k=1$. If $k=3$, from (2.2),

$$
M a^{2} \in\{26,99,8388224,9841095,1728322596\}
$$

In each case, we find that $M^{2} a^{4}-1$ is fourth-power free, except if $M a^{2}=9841095$ where $16 \mid M^{2} a^{4}-1$. It follows that either $B$ or $16 B$ is equal to $M^{2} a^{4}-1$, contradicting, in every case, $k>1$.

If $k=2$, then, from Proposition 2.1, we have $M=7$ and hence

$$
7 a^{2}=u_{2}^{*}=2\left(u_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}-1, \quad B_{1} b^{2}=v_{2}^{*}=2 u_{1}^{*} v_{1}^{*}
$$

If $u_{1}^{*}<u_{1}$ then necessarily $7 a^{2}=u_{1}$, as desired. We may thus suppose that $u_{1}=u_{1}^{*}$ and hence that $u_{1}^{*}$ is coprime to $B_{1}$. From the first of the above two equations, we may conclude that $u_{1}^{*}$ is even whereby, from the second, $u_{1}^{*}=2 r^{2}$ for some integer $r$. The first equation then implies that

$$
8 r^{4}-7 a^{2}=1
$$

whence, from Proposition 2.1, $|a r|=1$. We thus have $B b^{4}=48$, as claimed.

## 3. LARGER VALUES OF $n$

Let us now suppose that $n \geq 3$ is prime. Let $\epsilon=u+v \sqrt{B}$ where $u$ and $v$ are positive integers (to be chosen later) with $u^{2}-B v^{2}=1$. Defining

$$
E_{k}=\frac{\epsilon^{k}-\epsilon^{-k}}{\epsilon-\epsilon^{-1}}
$$

if $p$ is an odd positive integer, then we have the following identities :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}-E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}+E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)=E_{p}  \tag{3.1}\\
(u+1)\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}-E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^{2}-(u-1)\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}+E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^{2}=2  \tag{3.2}\\
(u+1)\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}-E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^{2}+(u-1)\left(E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}+E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)^{2}=\epsilon^{p}+\epsilon^{-p} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we suppose that there exist positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $M^{2} a^{2 n}-B b^{2 n}=1$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
M a^{n}+b^{n} \sqrt{B}=\left(u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{B}\right)^{m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive integer $m$. We separate our proof into two cases, depending on whether or not $m$ has an odd prime divisor $p$. If such a prime $p$ exists, define

$$
\epsilon=a_{1}+b_{1} \sqrt{B}=\left(u_{1}+v_{1} \sqrt{B}\right)^{m / p}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M a^{n}+b^{n} \sqrt{B}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1} \sqrt{B}\right)^{p} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding via the binomial theorem and equating coefficients, we thus may write

$$
M a^{n}=a_{1} \cdot a_{2}, \quad b^{n}=b_{1} \cdot b_{2}
$$

where $a_{2}$ and $b_{2}$ are odd integers with

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in\{1, p\}
$$

and neither $a_{2}$ nor $b_{2}$ divisible by $p^{2}$. It follows that there exists a positive integer $s$ such that either $b_{1}=s^{n}$ or $b_{1}=p^{n-1} s^{n}$. In the first case, $E_{p}=(b / s)^{n}$ and so, from (3.1) and the fact that the two factors on the left hand side of (3.1) are coprime,

$$
E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}-E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}=P^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{\frac{p+1}{2}}+E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}=Q^{n}
$$

for some positive integers $P$ and $Q$. Equation (3.2) thus yields

$$
\left(a_{1}+1\right) P^{2 n}-\left(a_{1}-1\right) Q^{2 n}=2
$$

and so, via Theorem 1.1, $P=Q=1$, contradicting $p>1$.
We may thus suppose that $b_{1}=p^{n-1} s^{n}$ (so that, in particular, $p$ fails to divide $a_{1} \cdot a_{2}$, whence $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are coprime). Then we have $E_{p}=p y_{0}^{n}$ for some positive integer $y_{0}$ and so (3.1) implies that

$$
E_{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pm E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}=p P^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{\frac{p+1}{2}} \mp E_{\frac{p-1}{2}}=Q^{n}
$$

for $P$ and $Q$ positive integers. Applying (3.2) and (3.3), we thus have either

$$
\left(a_{1}+1\right) p^{2} P^{2 n}-\left(a_{1}-1\right) Q^{2 n}=2, \quad\left(a_{1}+1\right) p^{2} P^{2 n}+\left(a_{1}-1\right) Q^{2 n}=2 M a^{n}
$$

or

$$
\left(a_{1}+1\right) Q^{2 n}-\left(a_{1}-1\right) p^{2} P^{2 n}=2, \quad\left(a_{1}+1\right) Q^{2 n}+\left(a_{1}-1\right) p^{2} P^{2 n}=2 M a^{n} .
$$

It follows that

$$
2\left(a_{1} \pm 1\right) Q^{2 n} \mp 2=2 M a^{n} .
$$

If we suppose that $a_{1}=M r^{n}$, for some integer $r$, then

$$
\left|\left(M r^{n} \pm 1\right) Q^{2 n}-M a^{n}\right|=1
$$

whereby, applying Theorem 1.1, we have $Q=1, a=r$, again a contradiction.
Finally, if $a_{1} \neq M r^{n}$ for any integer $r$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(M, a_{2}\right)>1$. Since we assume that $P(M) \leq 13$, it follows that $a_{2}$ has a prime divisor in the set $\{2,3,5,7,11,13\}$. As is well known, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \cdot a_{2}=T_{p}\left(a_{1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{p}(x)$ is, again, the $p$ th Tschebyscheff polynomial of the first kind. These satisfy the recursion

$$
T_{2 k+1}(x)=\left(4 x^{2}-2\right) T_{2 k-1}(x)-T_{2 k-3}(x),
$$

where $T_{1}(x)=x$ and $T_{3}(x)=4 x^{3}-3 x$. From this recursion, (3.6), and the fact that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=1$, it is easy to check that $a_{2}$ is coprime to 210 . For example, if we have $a_{1} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 7)$, then $a_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ for all odd $p$, while $a_{1} \equiv \pm 2(\bmod 7)$ implies that $a_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ if $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 8), a_{2} \equiv-1(\bmod 7)$ if $p \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$. Finally, if $a_{1} \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 7)$, then $a_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ unless $p=3\left(\right.$ whence $a_{2} \equiv$ $-2(\bmod 7))$.

The situation modulo 11 or 13 is slightly more complicated. In each case, since $p$ is an odd prime, we have, from the above recursion, that $11 \mid a_{2}$ or $13 \mid a_{2}$ only when $p=3$. In this case, $b_{2}=4 a_{1}^{2}-1=3 t^{n}$ for some integer $t$ whereby, upon factoring, we deduce the existence of integers $c$ and $d$ for which $c^{n}-3 d^{n}=2$ with $|c d|=t$. It follows, from Theorem 1.1, that $t=1$, contradicting $a_{1}>1$.

We are thus left to treat equation (3.4) with $m=2^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha$ a nonnegative integer. Our claim will follow directly if we can show that $\alpha=0$. If $\alpha>0$, then there exist integers $u$ and $v$ for which

$$
M a^{n}+b^{n} \sqrt{B}=(u+v \sqrt{B})^{2}
$$

whereby

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u^{2}-1=M a^{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u v=b^{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first of these equations implies, since we assume $3 \leq P(M) \leq 13$, that $M=7^{\beta}$ for some positive integer $\beta$.

Now either $u$ is even, in which case, from (3.8), there exist integers $l$ and $w$ for which $u=2^{n-1} l^{n}, v=w^{n}$, or $u$ is odd, whence $u=l^{n}, v=2^{n-1} w^{n}$. In the first of these cases, from (3.7), we conclude that

$$
2^{2 n-1} l^{2 n}-7^{\beta} a^{n}=1
$$

Arguing as in Kraus [7] (with minor complications at $n=5$ and $n=7$ ), this equation has no solutions with $n \geq 5$ prime. Modulo 7 , the same is true for $n=3$. In the second case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 l^{2 n}-7^{\beta} a^{n}=1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is an odd integer. To treat this equation, we consider the Frey curve

$$
E: Y^{2}=X^{3}+2 X^{2}+2 l^{2 n} X
$$

If $p$ is a prime, coprime to $14 a l n$, define

$$
a_{p}=p+1-\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)
$$

For $n \geq 11$ is prime, applying techniques of [4], there exists a weight 2 , level 896 cuspidal newform $f=\sum c_{n} q^{n}$ such that, if $p$ is a prime, again coprime to $14 a l n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Norm}_{K_{f} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c_{p}-a_{p}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod n) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $p \mid$ al but $p$ fails to divide $14 n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Norm}_{K_{f} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c_{p} \pm(p+1)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod n) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Stein's Modular Forms Database [12], we see that all the one dimensional forms at level 896 have $c_{3}=0$. A simple calculation shows that $a_{3}=2$ for our Frey curve, provided 3 fails to divide $l$ and hence one of (3.10) or (3.11) implies that $f$ is not one dimensional. For the higher dimensional forms labelled (in Stein's notation) $5-12$, we have $c_{3}=\theta$ with $\theta^{2} \pm 2 \theta-2=0$ or $\theta^{3} \pm 2 \theta^{2}-6 \theta \mp 8=0$. Calculating with (3.10) and (3.11) shows that necessarily $n=11$ and $3 \mid l a$. In this case, modulo 23, we have that $11 \mid \beta$, contradicting Theorem 1.1 (since the equation $X^{11}-2 Y^{11}=1$ has no solutions with $|X Y|>1$ ).

To deal with the remaining values of $n \in\{3,5,7\}$, we employ (mostly) local considerations. For example, equation (3.9) has no solutions modulo 7, provided $n=3$. For $n=5$, considering (3.9) modulo 11 , we find that necessarily $5 \mid \beta$. Since the equation $X^{5}-2 Y^{5}=1$ has, by Theorem 1.1, no solutions in integers $X$ and $Y$
with $|X Y|>1$, this leads to a contradiction. If $n=7,(3.9)$ is insoluble modulo 49 if $\beta \geq 2$. We are left then to deal with the Diophantine equation

$$
2 l^{14}-7 a^{7}=1
$$

Here, we may show that there are no local obstructions to solubility but employing, for instance, a "Thue-solver" such as that implemented in Simath, we find that there are, in fact no solutions in integers $l$ and $a$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.
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