PUTNAM PRACTICE SET 7

PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

Problem 1. Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $f(x) = 1 - a\cos(x) - b\sin(x) - c\cos(2x) - d\sin(2x)$. If $f(x) \ge 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then prove that $a^2 + b^2 \le 2$ and also that $c^2 + d^2 \le 1$.

Solution. Since $\sin(x+\pi) = -\sin(x)$ and $\cos(x+\pi) = -\cos(x)$, we observe that

$$g(x) := f(x) + f(x + \pi) = 2 - 2c\cos(2x) - 2d\sin(2x);$$

so, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we must have that $c\cos(2x) + d\sin(2x) \le 1$. We have that (the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality)

$$c\cos(2x) + d\sin(2x) \le \sqrt{(c^2 + d^2) \cdot (\cos^2(2x) + \sin^2(2x))} = \sqrt{c^2 + d^2}$$

This inequality comes from the classical inequality:

$$(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)^2 \le (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2)$$

which reduces to

$$0 \le (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)^2.$$

On the other hand, we can find $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (if $c, d \neq 0$)

$$\cos(2x_0) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}} \text{ and } \sin(2x_0) = \frac{d}{\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}}$$

since $\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{c^2 + d^2}}\right)^2 = 1$. So,
 $g(x_0) = 2 \cdot \left(1 - \sqrt{c^2 + d^2}\right)$

and because $g(x_0) \ge 0$, we must have that $c^2 + d^2 \le 1$.

Similarly, noting that $\cos(x + \pi/2) = -\sin(x)$ and $\sin(x + \pi/2) = \cos(x)$, we see that

$$h(x) := f(x) + f\left(x + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2 - a\left(\cos(x) - \sin(x)\right) - b\left(\sin(x) + \cos(x)\right)$$

Furthermore,

$$\cos(x) - \sin(x) = \sin(x + \pi/2) - \sin(x) = 2\sin(\pi/4)\cos(x + \pi/4) = \sqrt{2} \cdot \cos\left(x + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$$

and

$$\sin(x) + \cos(x) = \sin(x) + \sin(x + \pi/2) = 2\sin(x + \pi/4) \cdot \cos(\pi/4) = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sin\left(x + \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

So, $f(x) = 2 - a\sqrt{2}\cos(x + \pi/4) - b\sqrt{2}\sin(x + \pi/4)$ and we can find $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (assuming not both a and b are equal to 0)

$$\cos\left(x_1 + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \text{ and } \sin\left(x_1 + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$

Then $h(x_1) = 2 - \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \ge 0$ yields the inequality $a^2 + b^2 \le 2$.

It is important to note that we do not claim that the conditions $a^2+b^2 \le 2$ and $c^2+d^2 \le 1$ are also sufficient to imply that $f(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 2. Find all positive integers n for which there exist nonzero polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2).$$

Solution. We'll show that for each n there are such polynomials f and g. Indeed, let

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{\substack{\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n \in \{-1,1\}\\ (\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n) \neq (1,1,\cdots,1)}} (\epsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n x_n);$$

this is a polynomial of degree $2^n - 1$ and we claim that

$$h(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := (x_1 + \cdots + x_n) \cdot f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

is a polynomial of the form $g(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2)$. Indeed, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, if we let σ_i be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ given by

$$\sigma_i(x_i) = -x_i$$
 and $\sigma_i(x_j) = x_j$ for each $j \neq i$,

then we see that $\sigma_i(h) = h$. Therefore, each monomial of h contains x_i at an even power. So, repeating this argument for each i = 1, ..., n, we conclude that indeed,

$$h(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = g(x_1^2,\ldots,x_n^2)$$
 for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$.

Problem 3. Let $n \ge 2$ be a positive integer and let S_n be the set of all integers of the form 1 + kn for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a number $m \in S_n$ is indecomposable if there exist no $x, y \in S_n$ such that m = xy. Prove that there exists some $s \in S_n$ which can be written in at least two distinct ways as a product of indecomposable numbers from S_n (note that two decompositions consisting of precisely the same indecomposable numbers, but appearing in a different order are considered to be the same decomposition).

Solution. Let p be a prime number larger than n which is not in in S_n . The existence of such a prime number p follows from the classical argument assuming first that there exist finitely many prime numbers p_1, \ldots, p_ℓ which are not in S_n , then we consider $N := n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i - 1$; then N > 1 and it is not divisible by any of the numbers p_i but also, it cannot be divisible only by prime numbers contained in S_n . So, indeed, there exist infinitely many prime numbers not contained in S_n and we simply pick a prime number p > n not contained in S_n .

We let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and therefore, k is the smallest positive integer such that $p^k \in S_n$. Clearly, p^k is indecomposable in S_n and also, clearly, $k \geq 2$.

Now, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $pm \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$; clearly, $m \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Also, clearly, pm is indecomposable since otherwise there would exist some $1 \leq i < m$ such that $i \cdot p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, a contradiction.

We claim that $M := p^k m^k$ can be written in at least two ways as a product of indecomposable numbers from S_n . Indeed, M is the product of k copies of pmand pm is indecomposable. On the other hand, we can write M as a product of p^k (which is indecomposable) and the product of indecomposables which make up the number m^k (which is in S_n because $p^k \cdot m^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$). Finally, we note that pm is not p^k because

$$p^k \ge p^2 > p \cdot n > pm.$$

Problem 4. Let n be an integer ≥ 2 . We define two sequences $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ given by:

$$x_1 = n, y_1 = 1, x_{i+1} = \left[\frac{x_i + y_i}{2}\right] \text{ and } y_{i+1} = \left[\frac{n}{x_{i+1}}\right],$$

where [z] is the integer part of z for each real number z. Prove that

$$\min_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \left[\sqrt{n}\right].$$

Solution. We have that

$$\frac{x_i + y_i}{2} \le \frac{x_i + \frac{n}{x_i}}{2} < \frac{x_i + y_i + 1}{2}$$

because $y_i = \left[\frac{n}{x_i}\right] \le \frac{n}{x_i} < y_i + 1$. Now, regardless whether $x_i + y_i$ is even or odd, we get that

$$x_{i+1} = \left[\frac{x_i + y_i}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{x_i + \frac{n}{x_i}}{2}\right].$$

So, because $x_i + \frac{n}{x_i} \ge 2\sqrt{n}$ for each i (and also $x_1 = n$), then we conclude that $x_i \ge \sqrt{n}$ for all $i \ge 1$; hence

$$\min_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ge \left[\sqrt{n}\right].$$

Claim. If $x_i \ge \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1$, then $x_i > x_{i+1}$.

Proof of Claim. Since $x_i \ge \left[\sqrt{n}\right] + 1 > \sqrt{n}$, then $\frac{n}{x_i} - x_i < 0$ and so, $\left[\frac{\frac{n}{x_i} - x_i}{2}\right] \le -1$; then we have that

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = \left[\frac{\frac{n}{x_i} - x_i}{2}\right] \le -1,$$

as desired.

So, the above claim proves that starting from $x_1 = n$, then in less than $n - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1$ steps we reach $x_i = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.