

On singularity of p -energy measures among distinct values of p for some p.-c.f. self-similar sets

Naotaka Kajino (Kyoto University)

For each $p \in (1, \infty)$, a p -energy form $(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{F}_p)$, a natural L^p -analog of the standard Dirichlet form for $p = 2$, was constructed on the (two-dimensional standard) Sierpiński gasket K by Herman–Peirone–Strichartz [Potential Anal. **20** (2004), 125–148]. As in the case of $p = 2$, it satisfies the *self-similarity (scale invariance)*

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \rho_p \mathcal{E}_p(u \circ F_j), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_p,$$

where $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^3$ are the contraction maps on \mathbb{R}^2 defining K through the equation $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^3 F_j(K)$ and $\rho_p \in (1, \infty)$ is a scaling factor determined uniquely by $(K, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^3)$ and p . While the construction of $(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{F}_p)$ has been extended to general p.-c.f. self-similar sets by Cao–Gu–Qiu (2022), to Sierpiński carpets by Shimizu (2024) and Murugan–Shimizu (2024+) and to a large class of infinitely ramified self-similar fractals by Kigami (2023), very little has been understood concerning properties of important analytic objects associated with $(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{F}_p)$ such as p -harmonic functions and p -energy measures, even in the (arguably simplest) case of the Sierpiński gasket.

This talk is aimed at presenting the result of the speaker’s on-going joint work with Ryosuke Shimizu (Waseda University) that, *for a class of p.-c.f. self-similar sets with very good geometric symmetry, the p -energy measure $\mu_{\langle u \rangle}^p$ of any $u \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and the q -energy measure $\mu_{\langle v \rangle}^q$ of any $v \in \mathcal{F}_q$ are mutually singular for any $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ with $p \neq q$.* The keys to the proof are (1) new explicit descriptions of the global and local behavior of p -harmonic functions in terms of ρ_p , and (2) the highly non-trivial fact that $\rho_p^{1/(p-1)}$ is strictly increasing in $p \in (1, \infty)$, whose proof relies heavily on (1).