

1 Background

Definition 1.1. A sequence of random variables $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ taking values in a space S is called a Markov chain if for all $x_0, \dots, x_n \in S$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n \mid X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = x_n \mid X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}).$$

In other words, the future of the process is independent of the past given the present.

For an event A we write $\mathbb{P}_i(A)$ to denote $\mathbb{P}(A \mid X_0 = i)$.

A Markov chain is defined by its transition matrix P given by

$$P(i, j) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j \mid X_0 = i) \quad \forall i, j \in S.$$

A probability distribution π is called stationary/invariant if $\pi P = \pi$, i.e. if $X_0 \sim \pi$, then $X_n \sim \pi$ for all $n \geq 0$. A Markov chain is called **reversible** if

$$\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x) \quad \forall x, y.$$

Definition 1.2. A Markov chain is called **irreducible** if for all $x, y \in E$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $P^n(x, y) > 0$. It is called **aperiodic**, if $\text{g.c.d.}\{n \geq 1 : P^n(x, x) > 0\} = 1$ for all x .

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that X is an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain on a finite state space with invariant distribution π . Then for all x, y we have*

$$P^t(x, y) \rightarrow \pi(y) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 1.4. Let μ, ν be two probability measures on S . The total variation distance between μ and ν is defined to be

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = \max_{A \subseteq S} (\mu(A) - \nu(A)).$$

Exercise 1.5. *Show that*

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_x |\mu(x) - \nu(x)| = \sum_{x: \mu(x) > \nu(x)} \max(\mu(x) - \nu(x), 0).$$

Proposition 1.6. *Let μ and ν be two probability distributions on S . Then*

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} = \inf\{\mathbb{P}(X \neq Y) : (X, Y) \text{ is a coupling of } \mu \text{ and } \nu\}$$

and there is a coupling achieving the infimum above. We will call this coupling the optimal coupling of μ and ν .

Proof. It is easy to see using the definition of total variation that $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y)$ for any coupling (X, Y) . We now construct the optimal coupling. Let $p = \sum_x \min(\mu(x), \nu(x))$. Toss a coin with probability of Heads equal to p . If Heads comes up, then sample Z according to $f(x) = \min(\mu(x), \nu(x))/p$ and set $X = Y = Z$. If Tails comes up, then sample X according to h and Y according to g , where

$$h(x) = \frac{\mu(x) - \nu(x)}{1 - p} \mathbf{1}(\mu(x) > \nu(x)) \text{ and } g(x) = \frac{\nu(x) - \mu(x)}{1 - p} \mathbf{1}(\nu(x) > \mu(x)).$$

It is easy to check that this is indeed an optimal coupling of μ and ν . □

We now define

$$d(t) = \max_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(t) = \max_{x,y} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - P^t(y, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}}$$

Proposition 1.7. *For all $t > 0$*

$$d(t) \leq \bar{d}(t) \leq 2d(t).$$

Moreover, the function \bar{d} is submultiplicative, i.e. for all $s, t > 0$

$$\bar{d}(t+s) \leq \bar{d}(t)\bar{d}(s).$$

Proof. We leave the first assertion as an exercise.

For the second one, fix x and y and let (X, Y) be the optimal coupling of $P^s(x, \cdot)$ and $P^s(y, \cdot)$. By the Markov property $P^{s+t}(x, z) = \mathbb{E}[P^t(X, z)]$ and $P^{s+t}(y, z) = \mathbb{E}[P^t(Y, z)]$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^{s+t}(x, \cdot) - P^{s+t}(y, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}(X \neq Y) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_z |P^t(X, z) - P^t(Y, z)| \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(X \neq Y) \cdot \bar{d}(t)] = \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y) \bar{d}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Maximising over x and y and using that (X, Y) is an optimal coupling completes the proof. \square

Definition 1.8. A coupling of Markov chains with transition matrix P is a process $(X_t, Y_t)_t$ so that both X and Y are Markov chains with transition matrix P and with possibly different starting distributions. A Markovian coupling of P is a coupling of Markov chains which is itself a Markov chain which also satisfies that for all x, x', y, y'

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x' \mid X_0 = x, Y_0 = y) = P(x, x') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(Y_1 = y' \mid X_0 = x, Y_0 = y) = P(y, y').$$

A coupling is called coalescent, if whenever there exists s such that $X_s = Y_s$, then $X_t = Y_t$ for all $t \geq s$.

Theorem 1.9. *Let (X, Y) be a Markovian coalescent coupling with $X_0 = x$ and $Y_0 = y$. Let*

$$\tau_{\text{couple}} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = Y_t\}.$$

Then

$$\|P^t(x, \cdot) - P^t(y, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(\tau_{\text{couple}} > t).$$

Proof. Since the coupling is Markovian we have

$$P^t(x, x') = \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(X_t = x') \quad \text{and} \quad P^t(y, y') = \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(Y_t = y').$$

So (X_t, Y_t) is a coupling of $P^t(x, \cdot)$ and $P^t(y, \cdot)$, and hence we get

$$\|P^t(x, \cdot) - P^t(y, \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(X_t \neq Y_t) \leq \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(\tau_{\text{couple}} > t).$$

This finishes the proof. \square