

Metastability for Interacting Particle Systems

III. Widom-Rowlinson dynamics on the continuum

Frank den Hollander, Leiden University, The Netherlands

Elena Pulvirenti, Bonn University, Germany



Universiteit
Leiden



Online Open Probability School, June 26, 2020,
supported by PIMS, CRM, SMS, BIRS, MSRI.



F. den Hollander (Leiden)



S. Jansen (Munich)



R. Kotecký (Warwick)



E. Pulvirenti (Bonn)

In this talk we focus on the Widom-Rowlinson model of interacting disks in the plane.




On the continuum, all questions about phase transitions, critical behaviour and metastability turn out to be much more challenging than on lattices and graphs.

In the Widom-Rowlinson model, the interactions are purely geometric, which makes it more amenable to a detailed analysis.

§ THE STATIC WIDOM-ROWLINSON MODEL

Let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a finite torus. The set of finite particle configurations in \mathbb{T} is

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \subset \mathbb{T} : N(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, \quad N(\gamma) = \text{cardinality of } \gamma.$$



disks of radius 1 around γ

The grand-canonical Gibbs measure is

$$\mu(d\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Xi} z^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta H(\gamma)} \mathbb{Q}(d\gamma),$$

where

- \mathbb{Q} is the Poisson point process with intensity 1,
- $z \in (0, \infty)$ is the chemical activity,
- $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ is the inverse temperature,
- Ξ is the normalising partition function,

H is the interaction Hamiltonian given by


$$H(\gamma) = \left| \bigcup_{x \in \gamma} B(x) \right| - \sum_{x \in \gamma} |B(x)|,$$

i.e., minus the total overlap of the disks of radius 1 around γ . This makes the interaction attractive.

For $\beta > \beta_c$ a phase transition occurs at

$$z = z_c(\beta) = \beta e^{-\pi\beta}$$

in the thermodynamic limit, i.e., $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. No closed form expression is known for β_c .





Ruelle 1971

Lebowitz & Gallavotti 1971

Chayes, Chayes & Kotecký 1995

The one-species model can be seen as the projection of a two-species model with hard-core repulsion:



disks of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ around γ^{red} and γ^{blue}

EXERCISE!

§ THE DYNAMIC WIDOM-ROWLINSON MODEL

The particle configuration evolves as a **continuous-time Markov process** $(\gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with state space Γ and **generator**

$$(Lf)(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} dx b(x, \gamma) [f(\gamma \cup x) - f(\gamma)] \\ + \sum_{x \in \gamma} d(x, \gamma) [f(\gamma \setminus x) - f(\gamma)],$$

i.e., particles are **born** at rate b and **die** at rate d given by

$$b(x, \gamma) = z e^{-\beta[H(\gamma \cup x) - H(\gamma)]}, \quad x \notin \gamma, \\ d(x, \gamma) = 1, \quad x \in \gamma.$$

The **grand-canonical Gibbs measure** is the **unique reversible equilibrium** of this stochastic dynamics.

particles do not move!

KEY QUESTION:



Let \square and \blacksquare denote the set of configurations where \mathbb{T} is empty, respectively, full.

- Start with \mathbb{T} empty, i.e., $\gamma_0 = \square$.
[preparation in vapour state]
- Choose $z = \kappa z_c(\beta)$, $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$.
[reservoir is super-saturated vapour]
- Wait for the first time τ_{\blacksquare} when the system fills \mathbb{T} .
[condensation to liquid state]

What can be said about the law of τ_{\blacksquare} in the limit as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed \mathbb{T} and κ ?

For the choice $z = \kappa z_c(\beta) = (\kappa\beta)e^{-\pi\beta}$, the grand-canonical Gibbs measure reads

$$\mu(d\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Xi} (\kappa\beta)^{N(\gamma)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma)} \mathbb{Q}(d\gamma)$$

and the Dirichlet form associated with the dynamics reads

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \frac{1}{\Xi} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbb{Q}(d\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{T}} dx (\kappa\beta)^{N(\gamma \cup x)} e^{-\beta V(\gamma \cup x)} \times [f(\gamma \cup x) - f(\gamma)]^2,$$

where $N(\gamma)$ is the cardinality of γ and $V(\gamma)$ is the volume of the halo of γ .

Both quantities play a crucial role for the computation of **capacities** that underpin the potential-theoretic approach to metastability. Recall that the **Dirichlet principle** gives

$$\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare) = \inf_{\substack{f: \Gamma \rightarrow [0,1] \\ f|_{\square} = 1, f|_{\blacksquare} = 0}} \mathcal{E}(f, f)$$

and that

$$E_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare}) = \frac{[1 + o(1)] \mu(\square)}{\text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare)} = \frac{[1 + o(1)] Q(\square)}{\Xi \text{cap}(\square, \blacksquare)}$$

in the **metastable regime**.



HEURISTICS:


- Since particles have a tendency to **stick together**, they form some sort of droplet.
- **Inside** the droplet, particles are distributed according to a **Poisson process** with intensity $\kappa\beta \gg 1$.
- **Near the perimeter** of the droplet, particles are born at a rate that depends on **how much they stick out**.
- For small R the droplet tends to **shrink**, for large R it tends to **grow**. The **curvature** of the droplet determines which of the two prevails.

§ THREE THEOREMS

For $R \in [1, \infty)$ and $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$, let

$$\Phi_\kappa(R) = \pi R^2 - \kappa\pi(R-1)^2, \quad R_c(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1}.$$





A critical droplet of radius $R_c(\kappa)$ filled with 1-disks:
 $\asymp \beta$ disks in the interior, $\asymp \beta^{1/3}$ disks on the boundary

Stillinger & Weeks 1995

capillary waves

THEOREM 1 [Arrhenius formula]



For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$,

$$E_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare}) = \exp \left[\beta \Phi(\kappa) - \beta^{1/3} \Psi(\kappa) + o(\beta^{1/3}) \right], \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty,$$


where

$$\Phi(\kappa) = \Phi_{\kappa}(R_c(\kappa)) = \frac{\pi \kappa}{\kappa - 1},$$

$$\Psi(\kappa) = \Psi_{\kappa}(R_c(\kappa)) = s_* \frac{\kappa^{2/3}}{\kappa - 1},$$

where $s_* \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant that comes from an effective microscopic model with hard-core constraints.

Plots of the key quantities in the Arrhenius formula:



$\Phi(\kappa)$ = volume free energy critical droplet
 $\Psi(\kappa)$ = surface free energy critical droplet

EXERCISE!

THEOREM 2 [Exponential law]

For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare} / E_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare}) > t) = e^{-t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The exponential law is typical for metastable crossover times: the critical droplet appears after many unsuccessful attempts.

For $\delta > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{C}_\delta(\kappa) = \left\{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \exists x \in \mathbb{T} \text{ such that } B_{R_c(\kappa) - \delta}(x) \subset \text{halo}(\gamma) \subset B_{R_c(\kappa) + \delta}(x) \right\}.$$


THEOREM 3 [Critical droplet]

For every $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P_\square \left(\tau_{\mathcal{C}_\delta(\beta)}(\kappa) < \tau_\blacksquare \mid \tau_\square > \tau_\blacksquare \right) = 1$$

when

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \delta(\beta) = 0, \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{1/2} \delta(\beta) = \infty.$$



The estimation of the Dirichlet form requires an evaluation of high-dimensional surface integrals. The details of the computation are rather delicate:

variational principles
isoperimetric inequalities
volume large deviations
surface moderate deviations
microscopic hard-core Gibbs measures
mesoscopic capillary waves
coarse-graining techniques
capacities estimates
...

EXERCISE!



“a beautiful nightmare”

§ HIGHER DIMENSIONS

What if we consider the same model in \mathbb{R}^d , with the unit disks being replaced by **unit balls**? For now this extension is **too hard to handle**, but we expect that

$$E_{\square}(\tau_{\blacksquare}) = \exp \left[\beta \Phi(\kappa) - \beta^{(d-1)(d+1)} \Psi(\kappa) + \text{h.o.} \right], \quad \beta \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$\Phi(\kappa) = A \frac{\hat{\kappa}}{\hat{\kappa} - 1},$$

$$\Psi(\kappa) = B \left(\frac{\hat{\kappa}}{\hat{\kappa} - 1} \right)^{d-1} \hat{\kappa}^{-1/(d+1)},$$

where $\hat{\kappa} = \kappa^{1/(d-1)}$ and $A, B \in (0, \infty)$ are constants.

§ DIFFERENT SHAPES

What happens when the unit disks are replaced by **convex compact** sets? For now also this extension is **too hard to handle**, but we expect that

The **surface free energy** scales with β and κ in a way that depends on the **shape** of the set.

Work in progress with Yogesh Dhandapani

§ CONCLUSION

We have obtained a detailed description of metastability for a model of interacting particles in the continuum.

The Arrhenius formula for the average condensation time involves both the volume free energy and the surface free energy of the critical droplet.

There are many challenges in understanding metastability of interacting particle systems.



PAPERS:

F. den Hollander, S. Jansen, R. Kotecký and E. Pulvirenti:

- (1) *The Widom-Rowlinson model: Metastability*, in progress.
- (2) *The Widom-Rowlinson model: Mesoscopic fluctuations for the critical droplet*, preprint 2019 [arXiv:1907.00453].
- (3) *The Widom-Rowlinson model: Microscopic theory of surface tension*, in progress.